RECEIVED				
FEDERAL ELECTION				
· MARCONI				

RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1	BEFORE THE FEDERAL SECRETARION COMMISSION 26 PM 1: 33					
2	ZMZ JUN 26 PM 1: 33					
3	In the Matter of 2012 JNN 25 P 3 49					
4	) DISMISSAL AND CELA					
5 6	MUR 6549 ) CASE CLOSURE UNDER THE Ted Waga ) ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY					
7	Committee to Elect Ted Waga III and ) SYSTEM					
8	Laurie Lee Wymer, Treasurer ) SENSITIVE					
9	SENIOR TO MY MOST, Troubles,					
10	GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT					
11	Under the Enforcement Priority System ("EPS"), the Commission uses formal scoring					
12	criteria as a basis to allocate its resources and decide which matters to pursue. These criteria					
13	include without limitation an assessment of the following factors: (1) the gravity of the alleged					
14	violation, taking into account both the type of activity and the amount in violation; (2) the					
15	apparent impact the alleged violation may have had on the electoral process; (3) the complexity					
16	of the legal issues raised in the matter; and (4) recent trends in potential violations of the Federal					
17	Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), and developments of the law. It is the					
18	Commission's policy that pursuing relatively low-rated matters on the Enforcement docket					
19	warrants the exercise of its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss cases under certain circumstances.					
20	The Office of General Counsel has scored MUR 6549 as a low-rated matter and has					
21	determined that it should not be referred to the Alternative Dispute Resolution Office. For the					
22	reasons set forth below, the Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission					
23	exercise its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss MUR 6549.1					
24	In this matter, the complainant, John MacDonald, asserts that Ted Waga, a candidate for					
25	Congress in Pennsylvania's Fourth Congressional District, <sup>2</sup> and his campaign committee, the					

The EPS rating information is as follows: Complaint Filed: April 4, 2012. Response Filed: April 26, 2012.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> According to the disclosure database, Ted Waga is registered as a candidate in the Nineteenth Congressional District of Pennsylvania.

Dismissal and Case Closure Under EPS – MUR 6549 General Counsel's Report Page 2

1 Committee to Elect Ted Waga III and Laurie Lee Wymer, in her official capacity as treasurer, 2 (the "Committee") violated the Act and Commission regulations by failing to include the required disclaimers in certain campaign communications. According to the complainant, a 3 4 radio advertisement promoting Waga did not contain a statement of who paid for the advertisement, as required by 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a)(1) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(b)(1), and Waga did 5 6 not include a verbal statement such as "My name is Ted Waga, candidate for Congress, and I 7 approve this message," or a "reasonable variation on that statement," pursuant to 2 U.S.C. 8 § 441d(d)(1)(A) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(c)(3). Compl. at 1. The complainant contends that, 9 although the advertisement includes a statement made by Waga identifying himself — "I'm Ted 10 Waga, and I'm running for Congress" — it does not include a statement of Waga's approval of 11 the advertisement or who paid for it. 12 In the Response, Waga, who replied on behalf of the Committee and himself, states that, 13 in his radio advertisement, he "was the only speaker in the spot, clearly identified [himself], did 14 not refer to any other candidate, and was clear about the office [he] was running for." Resp. at 1, ¶ 1. He also claims that because the advertisements were set to air during hours that he was on 15 duty as a police officer, he did not have the opportunity to listen to the advortisements. Id. 16 17 Additionally, Waga states that, after receiving the Complaint, he contacted the radio 18 station sales manager and the sales manager told Waga that the "paid for" tag was added to the 19 end of the advertisement only a few days into Waga's campaign. Letter from Nikki Hilton, 20 Cumulus Broadcasting, to Ted Waga, attached to Response. Waga also states that, because the 21 complainant did not provide the dates and times of the advertisements that were allegedly 22 missing the disclaimer, he could not say with certainty whether any of the advertisements were in 23 fact missing the disclaimer. Resp. at 1, ¶ 2. Waga goes on to say, however, that if an

Dismissal and Case Closure Under EPS – MUR 6549 General Counsel's Report Page 3

- 1 advertisement was mistakenly aired without the required disclaimer, the radio station quickly
- 2 corrected the mistake. *Id.*
- 3 Under the Act, if a candidate or an authorized political committee of a candidate pays for
- 4 and authorizes a communication transmitted through any broadcasting station, such
- 5 communication must clearly state that the communication was paid for by such authorized
- 6 political committee. 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a)(1) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(b)(1). In addition, such
- 7 communication broadcast on the radio must contain a statement made by the candidate that
- 8 identifies the candidate and states that the candidate approves the communication. 2 U.S.C.
- 9 § 441d(d)(1)(A) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(c)(3)(i).
- Waga attaches to his Response three recordings of advertisements. Each of them begins
- with his statement, "I'm Ted Waga, and I'm running for Congress," and ends with, "Paid for by:
- 12 Committee to Elect Ted Waga." The advertisements, however, do not include a statement made
- by Waga stating that he approves the message. These recordings demonstrate that the ads did
- not fully comply with the applicable disclaimer requirements. See 2 U.S.C. § 441d(d)(1)(A) and
- 15 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(c)(3).
- Nonetheless, the advertisements contained sufficient identifying information to prevent
- 17 the public from being misled as to who paid for them. Moreover, the record evidence shows
- 18 that, although not fully compliant, the Committee attempted to quickly take remedial action.
- 19 Thus, in furtherance of the Commission's priorities and resources, relative to other matters
- 20 pending on the Enforcement docket, the Office of General Counsel believes that the Commission
- should exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss this matter. See Heckler v. Chaney, 470
- 22 U.S. 821 (1985). Additionally, the Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission

Dismissal and Case Closure Under EPS – MUR 6549 General Counsel's Report Page 4

- remind Waga and the Committee of the requirements under 2 U.S.C. § 441d and 11 C.F.R.
- 2 § 110.11, concerning the use of appropriate disclaimers on campaign radio advertisements.

## 3 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 4 The Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission exercise its
- 5 prosecutorial discretion and dismiss MUR 6549. See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985).
- 6 In addition, the Office of General Counsel recommends reminding Ted Waga and the Committee
- 7 to Elect Ted Waga III and Laurie Lea Wymer, in her official capacity as treasurer, of the
- 8 requirements under 2 U.S.C. § 441d and 11 C.F.R. § 110.11, concerning the use of appropriate
- 9 disclaimers on campaign radio advertisements, approve the attached Factual and Legal Analysis,
- 10 and close the file.

35 36

11 12 13 14	Date: 6/26/12		Anthony Herman General Counsel
15		BY:	
16			Gregory R. Baker 14 Dy
17			Gregory R. Baker
18			Special Counsel
19			Complaints Examination
20			& Legal Administration
21			$\sim$ . $\Omega$
22			() 14 (19)
23			Y-cc/c/v-d-n
24 25			Jeff S. Jordan
25 26			Supervisory Attorney Complaints Examination
20 27			& Legal Administration
28			& Logar / Minimodation
29			
30			/ chae (types
31			Rachael Hughes
32			Legal Intern
33			
34			